

EDITOR IN CHIEF

NGUYEN BACH KHOA

DEPUTY EDITOR IN CHIEF

SECRETARY OF EDITORIAL OFFICE

PHAM MINH DAT

EDITOR IN ENGLISH

NGUYEN THI LAN PHUONG

EDITORIAL SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL

Dinh Van SON - Thuong mai University, Vietnam - President
Pham Vu LUAN - Thuong mai University, Vietnam - Vice President
Nguyen Bach KHOA - Thuong mai University, Vietnam - Deputy President

THE MEMBERS

Vu Thanh Tu ANH - Fulbright University in Vietnam, USA
Le Xuan BA - Centural Institute for Economic Managerment, Vietnam
Hervé B. BOISMERY - University of La Reunion, France
H. Eric BOUTIN - Toulon Var University, France
Nguyen Thi DOAN - Vietnam Learning Promotion Association, Vietnam
Haasis HANS - Dietrich - Institute of Shipping Economics and Logistics (isl) Bremen - Germany
Le Quoc HOI - National Economic University, Vietnam
Nguyen Thi Bich LOAN - Thuong mai University, Vietnam
Nguyen Hoang LONG - Thuong mai University, Vietnam
Nguyen MAI - Vietnam Economist Association, Vietnam
Duong Thi Binh MINH - University of Economics HoChiMinh City, Vietnam
Hee Cheon MOON - Korean Trade Research Association, South Korea
Bui Xuan NHAN - Thuong mai University, Vietnam
Luong Xuan QUY - Vietnam Economicst Association, Vietnam
Nguyen Van Song - Vietnam National University of Agriculture
Nguyen TAM - California State University, USA
Truong Ba THANH - University of Danang, Vietnam
Dinh Van THANH - Institute for Trade Research, Vietnam
Do Minh THANH - Thuong mai University, Vietnam
Le Dinh THANG - University of Québec à Trois Rivières, Canada
Tran Dinh THIEN - Vietnam Institute of Economics, Vietnam
Nguyen Quang THUAN - Vietnam Academy of Social Sciences, Vietnam
Le Nhu TUYEN - Grenoble École de Managment, France
Washio TOMOHARU - Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan
Zhang YUJIE - Tsinghua University, China

Journal of Trade Science

ISSN 1859-3666

Volume 7

Number 1

March 2019

CONTENTS

Page

1. **Hung, D. V.** - Improvement of Competitiveness to Attract FDI to Vietnam in the Context of Industrial Revolution 4.0 3
2. **Thuy, V. X.** - The Impact of Ownership Structure on Executive Compensation: Evidence from Viet Nam 15
3. **Nga, N. T.** - Structural Building and Analysis of the Market of Fisheries Supply Chain: The Case of Red Snapper (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) in Nam Trung Bo 28
4. **Lan, M. T. and Huy, L. Q.** - Trends of big data applications in attracting and retaining talents in Vietnam enterprises 36
5. **Anh, L. T. T.** - Analysis of Entrepreneurial Company Culture - A case Study of the e-commerce Company 45
6. **Huong, D. T. and Nhan, N. T. M.** - Factors Affecting the Implementation of Social Responsibility To employees at Vietnamese Textile Enterprises 53
7. **Nhung, L. T.** - Miller- Orr model Application in Cash Capital Management in Vietnamese Enterprises 65

ANALYSIS OF ENTREPRENEURIAL COMPANY CULTURE - A CASE STUDY OF THE E-COMMERCE COMPANY

Le Thi Tu Anh

Center for Business Administration Study
VNU University of Economics and Business
Email: lehituanh@vnu.edu.vn

Received: 28th November 2018

Revised: 27th December 2018

Approved: 5th January 2019

Corporate culture plays an important role in the success of businesses, especially with entrepreneurial companies. With results of case study analysis, this paper partly clarifies basic characteristics of corporate culture of entrepreneurial companies and emphasizes the important role of corporate culture analysis and adjustment to match current and future development strategies. This paper provides suggestions to change the corporate culture based on long term orientation of entrepreneurial companies, and also proposes lessons in building corporate culture for individuals who intend to start a business in the future.

Keywords: corporate culture, entrepreneurial company, adhocracy culture

1. Introduction

During the past decades, a large number of theoretical and empirical studies have emphasized the important role of corporate culture in firm performance improvement as well as its sustainable development. However, in Vietnam, the corporate culture was only clearly focused in several large enterprises, with a long established history, such as Viettel Military Industry and Telecoms Group, Vietnam Electricity Group, and Vietnam Dairy Products Joint Stock Company Vinamilk, FPT Corporation, etc. Small and medium enterprises and especially entrepreneurial companies still pay inadequate attention to building, developing and managing corporate culture. To ensure the success of start-up businesses, in addition to the professional, technological, technical, creative, financial capabilities, etc. corporate culture is one of the most important elements which should be developed in accordance with the long-term strategy of the enterprises.

Regarding the research related to corporate culture in the world, the majority of scholars focused

on building tools and criteria to analyze, evaluate and classify corporate culture. The outstanding studies in this direction can include the multidimensional cultural research of Hofstede (1990), the three cultural classes of Schein (1999), the cultural model of Deal and Kennedy (2001), Denison (2001)... A number of other studies put great emphasis on the impact of culture on firm performance, including financial and non-financial results (Ojo, 2009; Shahzad et al., 2012). On the other hand, in Vietnam, the studies on corporate culture also addressed many diverse aspects such as: lessons learned about culture development in developed countries (Do Minh Cuong, 2016), cultural transition along with different development stages of the enterprise (Nguyen Hai Minh, 2015), the role of the leadership in building corporate culture (Tran Van Trang, 2017)...

However, the number of studies analyzing the cultural characteristics of different groups of firm in line with Vietnam's economic is still limited. In particular, there is a lack of research on the culture of

entrepreneurial companies, especially in the context of the Industrial Revolution 4.0, which is creating significant changes in the external business environment as well as the internal communication of firm. Therefore, this paper aims to answer a research question: What are the cultural characteristics of entrepreneurial companies in the current context of Vietnam?

To clarify this issue, first of all, this paper provides an overview of a number of theories about corporate culture, models of corporate culture analysis and cultural characteristics of startup businesses. Next, the culture of a startup company in the field of e-commerce is analyzed by using the Organizational Culture Assessment Instrument (OCAI) developed by Cameron and Quinn (2011). Based on the results from the selected startup company, this paper proposes several suggestions which help managers in general, and business founders in particular, in building and developing corporate culture in order to achieve their strategic medium and long-term goals.

2. Basic theoretical issues about corporate culture

2.1. The importance of corporate culture

In the 80s of the 20th century, corporate culture was more frequently mentioned in the studies from the management approach. At present, there is still an inconsistency in defining corporate culture due to the diversity of approaches as well as the scope of research and the application of this concept. In a simple way, corporate culture is usually understood as the way that the enterprise does everything to achieve success (Schneider, 1994). Corporate culture includes values, beliefs, and perceptions that all members of the organization agree with. This understanding emphasizes the consistency in corporate culture and the impact of culture on each employee's actions.

Numerous studies in the world and in Vietnam insisted on the important impacts of culture on the performance of enterprises. Some studies showed the positive impact of culture on improving the operational performance (Abraham and Nahm, 2004; Ojo, 2009; Shahzad et al, 2012). Other authors investigated the impact from some specific aspects of culture, such as leadership effectiveness,

employee commitment, to financial performance and employee satisfaction (Harris, 2000; Chen, 2004). The common point in the results of these studies is the affirmation of the indispensable role of corporate culture in organizations in different sectors, industries and stages of business development.

2.2. Models of corporate culture analysis

Corporate culture is approached from many different points of view. Today's theoretical studies of corporate culture could be categorized into three main groups: Integration, Differentiation and Fragmentation. The differentiation and fragmentation approaches are primarily concerned with the differences and complexities in the culture of each individual, small group or group; and emphasize interactions and conflicts between cultures. Meanwhile, researches based in integration approach indicated that "all cultural aspects are consistent and complementary" (Martin, 2002). In an organization, there is always the cultural unity. This is also an approach used primarily in management-oriented studies, which helps decision makers and business owners to take necessary measures to effectively build and develop corporate culture.

This paper focused on comparing four cultural models based on an integration perspective, including: models of Harrison (1987), Deal & Kennedy (2000), Schneider (1994), Cameron and Quinn (2011). The advantage of these models is that it allows firms to change their corporate culture at a certain time; to determine the type of culture that they aim to build, therefore they could make decision on developing appropriate culture. The comparisons of these four models are shown in Table 1.

The culture model of Harrison (1987) showed four organizational ideologies including: task orientation, person orientation, power orientation and role orientation. The difference between these four ideologies is assessed based on the degree of concentration of power and the formalization of those powers through specific texts and rules. In particular, family-owned companies or newly established businesses are often power-oriented. Specifically, in power-oriented businesses, leadership to maintain absolute control over their subordinates. Employee compliance is always more appreciated than their performance. In the meantime, a role ori-

entation organization is directed towards a clear order. Competition and conflict are resolved through agreements, rules and procedures, etc.

The task orientation organizations consider achieving the goal as the highest destination. The structure, functions and activities of the organization are carried out to fulfill the tasks set. According to Harrison (1987), task - oriented culture is often seen in factories, companies having just started their businesses, or operating in high - risk sectors, research organizations or in military organizations. Person orientation organizations aim to meet the needs of each member being involved in the organization. Each person's authority will be assigned based on competence. The culture of person -oriented companies is characterized by trust between individuals, and between individuals and organizations. Person orientation is linked to consulting companies or small groups of professionals working in the research and development department of the enterprise.

The culture model of Deal and Kennedy (2000) is developed based on the fact that corporate culture is shaped by external factors such as competitive environment, competitors, specific products, etc. Based on two main groups of factors: the level of risk and the response to changes of business environment, Deal and Kennedy (2000) classified corporate culture in four groups: tough - guy culture, process culture, work hard/play hard culture and bet - your - company culture, etc. In particular, tough - guy culture, with the promotion of individualism, is often linked to venture capital firms, construction, cosmetics and publishing. Individuals working in such organizations are required a tough attitude to face fierce competition within the enterprise.

The model of Schneider (1994) divided corporate culture into four main groups: cultivation, collaboration, control and competence. Specifically, cultivation culture and collaboration culture promote the cohesion between individuals in the organization, which is built on mutual trust (cultivation) or interdependence to achieve common goals (collaboration). In contrast, control culture and competence culture emphasize objectivity. Control culture values the decisions being objectively made based on analysis and examination of

external factors. Meanwhile, the basic value that cultural competence is interested in is knowledge and information. The success of an organization of competence culture is based on the need for each individual to demonstrate his or her ability, or even superior ability compared to others.

The model of Cameron and Quinn (2011) considered corporate culture in four core values: flexibility, stability, internal focus and external focus. A special feature in the model of Cameron and Quinn (2011) is that along with the development process of the firms, corporate culture is not fixed but tends to move through a predictable model about the changes of firm, which starts from adhocracy culture to clan culture, moving to hierarchy culture and then market culture. Although this trend is summarized through a large number of empirical researches in the world, it does not mean that this is a mandatory trend and is suitable for all businesses.

Adhocracy culture emphasizes an open and free workspace that allows employees to create and promote their new ideas, thereby providing customers with special products and services that have not appeared in the market. Adhocracy culture is often associated with companies in the field of information technology, electronics, etc. with high - risk investment projects. Meanwhile, hierarchy culture emphasizes principles and regulations that help to maintain order in the organization. The leader is the one who controls and ensures that the output products must meet certain standards.

Besides, creative businesses requiring high interaction among members often build clan culture which is characterized by friendly atmosphere between employees and superiors. The relationship between individuals in the organization is like that of a family. The rope that binds members is loyalty, striving for the common goal. In contrast, market culture creates a healthy competitive environment for individuals to strive to achieve their goals and to show their superiority. Companies linked with this culture focus more on the ultimate result than on used process or methods.

The four models of these authors described different aspects of culture. Harrison's model focused on how to make decisions (centralization and formalization). Deal and Kennedy focused on deter-

mining what type of decision should be made and who has the right to make a decision. Schneider focused on how to think in the decision - making process (decision makers direct their thinking to individuals or organizations, at the present or future). Cameron and Quinn emphasized the values that the organization wants and the progress of culture since the start of the business until it is clearly shaped in the market. In fact, in many cases, the culture of companies shows more than one aspect that models suggested, but it focus more on a specific orientation.

In this paper, the culture model of Cameron and Quinn (2011) is selected for cultural analysis of entrepreneurial companies for the following reasons: (1) organizational culture assessment instrument OCAI is held by Cameron and Quinn developed from a model that has been widely applied in the world, with high reliability; (2) analysis results allow to find the direction of cultural movement over time, from which managers can find solutions to change the culture towards a certain direction.

However, since the model of Cameron and Quinn (2011) limits corporate culture in four dimen-

sions, the cultural assessment through the model will not be able to express the inherent complexity of culture. Therefore, in addition to surveying with OCAI's culture assessment instrument, this paper uses in - depth interviews with a number of individuals who have important roles in the organization to explore others aspects of culture which have not been shown in the questionnaire.

2.3. Characteristics of entrepreneurial companies

The characteristics of startups are closely related to shaping, developing and changing corporate culture. According to Blank (2010), the difference between a startup and a business that has formed and developed over a long period of time is mainly related to goals, performance indicators and especially culture and employees. Building and developing culture is essential in determining the success of a startup because culture is one of the characteristics to keep talented employees ready to participate in the

Table 1: Comparison of four typical culture models

| | Harrison (1987) | Deal & Kennedy (2000) | Schneider (1994) | Cameron & Quinn (2011) |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Name of cultural groups | Power orientation Task orientation Role orientation Person orientation | Bet - your - company Work hard/play hard Process Tough-guy | Cultivation Collaboration Control Competence | Adhocracy Clan Hierarchy Market |
| Primary focus | Process conduction and decision making | Kinds of decisions | General way of thinking in the decision making process | Values held dear by organization |
| X-axis | High/low centralization | High/low risk | People/company orientation | Internal/ external focus |
| Y-axis | High/low formalization | Fast/slow feedback | Actuality/possibility orientation | Flexibility and discretion/Stability and control |
| Questionnaire's validity | Statistically validated by empirical studies | Statistically validated by empirical studies | Unknow | Statistically validated by empirical studies |
| Application level at the present time | Less used | Still continue to be used | Still continue to be used | Still continue to be used |
| Main factor determining corporate culture | Individuals of firm | External factors | Internal and external factors | Internal and external factors |

(Source: Edited by author based on research of Maximini (2015))

organization. Corporate culture is originated from business founders and is at the heart of the management process in entrepreneurial companies.

Based on research on entrepreneurial companies of Carland et al. (2007), the most important characteristic of startup is the rapid growth of customers and revenue over time. This growth needs to be based on innovation, fully exploiting new technologies, new ideas that have never been on the market. Thereby these companies could provide new products, new production methods, explore a new market or even change the organization of an entire field. Some basic characteristics of start-up businesses that directly affect corporate culture can be summarized as follows:

- *Risks*: Entrepreneurial companies affirm their position in the market through constant innovation, creativity, breakthrough products, testing of products and services that have not yet appeared on the market. This requires risk, daring to cope with risks and challenges when launching a product that has not been clearly verified about the ability to attract users and increase revenue.

- *Flexibility*: Entrepreneurial companies constantly change in their products, processes as well as organizational structures. Flexibility to deal with changes in the market and within the organization allows companies to gradually find the most appropriate form in order to move into a stable development stage.

- *Creativity*: Entrepreneurial companies create a difference with other businesses in innovation, creativity, and application of modern technologies to create a leap in sales and user volume.

3. Research method

The author uses case-study research method through surveying employees at a startup in e-commerce in Hanoi. This company was established in 2015 and has achieved certain successes in business, continuously received investments from large enterprises and investment funds such as: Vietnam Silicon Valley, Hajime Hotta (Angel investor), Design One Japan, Golden Gate Venture and RekaNext Venture, etc. With the results achieved after three years of development, the enterprise has continued to affirm its position in the race of technology companies in the field e-commerce. The

results of culture analysis of this company provide several lessons for other small and medium enterprises in Vietnam and for individuals who intend to start business in the future.

The primary data collection process uses two methods: in - depth interviews and questionnaire survey. First of all, the author contacted in - depth interviews with HR Director and Director of Product Research and Development, who are two of the founders of the company to learn about some basic elements of corporate culture. The interview questions were established based on Schein's (2009) research, which consists of three main question groups related to: survival issues outside the company (tasks, strategies, goals, structure, system, etc.); internal issues (communication, teamwork, power, relationships, rewards, etc.); and some deeper assumptions about corporate culture (the nature of relationships inside the company, hypothetical management, how the company deals with unclear or uncontrollable issues, etc.). The two directors were then asked to answer a questionnaire consisting of 24 questions according to the Organizational Cultural Assessment Instrument (OCAI) of Cameron and Quinn (2011). The information gathered from the founders of the company helps to clarify the management orientation as well as their desire to develop corporate culture.

Next, an online survey was created through the Google Docs application, which included 24 questions similar to the questionnaire used with two directors in step one. The link to the online questionnaire is sent via personal email to all employees of the company. Using an online survey tool allows anonymous respondents to ensure objectivity of survey results. The total number of emails sent is 183. The number of survey results collected from Google Docs is 95.

The results of the questionnaire analysis are summarized and compared with the results obtained from the founders of the company in step one to find out the cultural characteristics of this company and the difference between the level of culture assessment of employees compared to the culture development orientation of the company.

4. Analysis results on cultural characteristics of entrepreneurial company

4.1. Descriptive statistics of research sample

The collected sample objects are employees working full - time at the head office and the representative office of the entrepreneurial company. The basic information of respondents to the survey questionnaires is presented in Table 2. In general, the respondents are young people under 30 years old (accounting for more than 75% of the total sample) and have a high level of education, mostly bachelor (more than 87%).

Table 2: Characteristics of respondents

| | | Number | Proportion |
|---------------|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Age | Under 30 | 72 | 75.8% |
| | From 30 to 35 | 16 | 16.8% |
| | Over 35 | 7 | 7.4% |
| Gender | Male | 52 | 54.7% |
| | Female | 43 | 45.3% |
| Qualification | High school diploma | 4 | 4.2% |
| | Bachelor | 83 | 87.4% |
| | Master | 8 | 8.4% |

4.2. Cultural characteristics of entrepreneurial company

The results of cultural analysis of the startup enterprise are presented in Table 3. Based on these results, it can be seen that the current culture of the company is Hierarchy (D = 37.2) and Market (C = 31.1). This shows that employees in the company feel that the current working environment is very serious and organized. They always strive to maintain achievement and improve operational efficiency. They also understand that the business market is aiming for fierce competition and customers are the foundation for success. The core value of current corporate culture is stability. This result partly reflects the current development stage in the market of the company. After three years of establishment and development, the company has built and affirmed its brand as well as maintained its own

identity in e-commerce products. Within the company, the control process system is also gradually improving, allowing to strictly control the work efficiency of each individual.

Table 3: Results on corporate culture analysis

| | Current culture | Orientation of founders | Deviation |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Clan (A) | 17.5 | 19.1 | 1.6 |
| Adhocracy (B) | 14.2 | 28.3 | 14.1 |
| Market (C) | 31.1 | 29.1 | 2 |
| Hierarchy (D) | 37.2 | 23.5 | 13.7 |

Meanwhile, the results of cultural orientation analysis show that the founders want to build market culture (D = 29.1) and adhocracy culture (B = 28.3). Specifically, market is expected to become the

mainstream culture of company in the future. With the current competitive advantage, which is based on an outstanding product of e - commerce platform between customers and customers (C2C), founders

aim to maintain the leading position in the domestic market and attract more regional and global investors. On the other hand, adhocracy culture is also the path chosen to develop by the company

founders (B = 28.3). This rating could be explained by using the results of in - depth interviews with two founders. Specifically, adhocracy and market culture are somewhat expressed in the core values

of company as "Quick" and "Creative". The current success of company relies heavily on the ability to capture and respond quickly to market needs and offer superior and innovative products compared to competitors.

The culture analysis results of the two groups of respondents and surveys are shown in Figure 1. The comparison of the founders' desires with current culture assessment shows certain differences. To the founders, the biggest change which should be made




Figure 1: Current culture and future orientation of the company

in the future is to strengthen more adhocracy culture, with the gap between the founder's and the current culture's assessment of 14.1. In contrast, the hierarchy culture is oriented to decrease with the difference of 13.7. The difference between clan and market culture assessment is not relatively significant. However, the rise of adhocracy culture does not mean that this culture is absolutely dominant. The dominant culture oriented by the founders is market and adhocracy culture, but the level of these two cultures still has a certain balance against clan and hierarchy culture.

4.3. Solutions proposed for corporate culture changes

Based on the results of in-depth interviews, the results of surveys using OCAI tools and the theory of cultural groups, this paper proposes a number of solutions to achieve positive changes in the culture, which helps to achieve the business goals and improve performance of firm.

According to the founders' orientation, the corporate culture needs to change in the direction of increasing adhocracy culture and reducing hierarchy culture. Several solutions should be implemented, including:

- Adjusting the working space and the mode of communication between individuals in the organization in a more open way: Currently, due to the geographical distance between the main office and the representative office of the company, the grantees changes, discussions, meetings, etc. are usually

done online or via email. This partly limits the friendly communication between employees in different working areas. The company could create a dynamic, free and close environment through encouraging individuals to share information more often through informal discussions.

- Changing the ways of interaction between founders, managers and employees in the company: the founders needs to act as a pioneer who give a long-term vision, suggest creative ideas to connect their employees, instead of acting as results controller.

- Adjusting the performance evaluation mechanism to encourage innovation activities: Regularly evaluating employees' innovation activities in products, processes, etc. will contribute to promoting adhocracy culture. In addition to evaluating the final results, the managers also need to set criteria to assess the process of innovation to timely encourage and support creative ideas.

- Maintaining the spirit of healthy competition among employees: Market culture, which has not significant difference between the current assessment and the desire of the founders, still needs to be maintained through setting specific goals related to the work of departments or individuals and a clear reward mechanism. Because maintaining internal competition is determined by the founders as a lever to help achieving the common goals, ensuring that each individual's goals are united and aligned with the goals of the company.

5. Conclusions

Corporate culture plays an important role not only with large-scale enterprises developed for a long period but also with entrepreneurial companies in improving both competitiveness and economic performance. This paper reviews a number of culture models that were widely used in the world and able to be applied to the context of entrepreneurial companies in Vietnam. When the appropriate corporate culture is determined, these models also allow to work out specific solutions to adjust and change corporate culture in line with the long-term orientation of firm. Based on the results of case-study analysis of an entrepreneurial company in the field of e-commerce, this paper partly clarifies some basic characteristics of the culture of entrepreneurial companies

and emphasizes the important role of analyzing and adjusting corporate culture to match the current and future development strategy. Limitations of this paper relate to the scope of survey investigations that are limited to a typical enterprise, so the results of the analysis have not yet generalized characteristics of startups in other fields. Future studies may overcome this limitation by expanding the scope of the investigation to a group of enterprises operating in the same field or combining the survey of questionnaires with group discussions for comparison between different business groups.

References:

1. Harrison, R. (1972) *Understanding your organization's character*. Harvard Business Review, May/June, 119-128
2. Cameron Quinn (2011) *Diagnosing and changing organizational culture: Based on the competing values framework*. Reading, MA: Addison - Wesley.
3. Schneider, W. (1994) *The Reengineering Alternative: A Plan for Making Your Current Culture Work*. Burr Ridge, IL: Irwin Professional Publishing.

4. Maximini, D. (2015) *The Scrum culture: Introducing Agile Methods in Organizations*. Springer, Berlin.

5. Deal T.E and Kennedy A.A (2000) *Corporate Cultures*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

6. Carland, J.W., Hoy, F., Boulton, W.R. & Carland, J.A.C. (2007) *Differentiating Entrepreneurs from Small Business Owners: A Conceptualization*, Entrepreneurship, 73 - 81.

Summary

Văn hóa doanh nghiệp có vai trò quan trọng trong sự thành công của doanh nghiệp, đặc biệt là với các doanh nghiệp khởi nghiệp... Với kết quả phân tích điển hình, bài viết phân nào làm rõ một số đặc trưng cơ bản trong văn hóa của các doanh nghiệp khởi nghiệp và nhấn mạnh vai trò quan trọng của việc phân tích và điều chỉnh văn hóa để phù hợp với chiến lược phát triển hiện tại và trong tương lai. Bài viết cung cấp một số gợi ý để thay đổi văn hóa theo định hướng dài hạn của doanh nghiệp khởi nghiệp, đồng thời cũng chỉ ra bài học trong việc xây dựng văn hóa doanh nghiệp cho các cá nhân có ý định khởi sự kinh doanh trong tương lai.

LE THI TU ANH

1. Personal Profile:

- Name: **Le Thi Tu Anh**
- Date of birth: 20th September 1992
- Title: Master of Economics (M.Econ)
- Workplace: Center of Business Administration Studies - University of Economics and Business - VNU.
- Position: Researcher

2. Major research directions:

- Human resource management towards sustainable development
- Corporate culture, business ethics, corporate social responsibility
- Production management, supply chain quality management.
- Service quality management

3. Publications the author has published his works:

- Journal of Trade Science